



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/786,264

02/25/2004

Robert A. Boger

P1415US02

2426

32709 7590 10/17/2008

GATEWAY, INC.
ATTN: PATENT ATTORNEY
610 GATEWAY DRIVE
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049

EXAMINER

CHOW, JEFFREY J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/786,264	Applicant(s) BOGER, ROBERT A.	
	Examiner Jeffrey J. Chow	Art Unit 2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey J. Chow. (3) ____.

(2) Scott Richardson (43,436). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 09 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ersoz et al. (US 5,287,189).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the that a computer may not inherently include a processor or a memory and the possibility that a display unit may not have a screen. Discussed whether Ersoz teaches displaying a converted interlaced signal overlapping a non-interlaced signal as claimed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey J Chow/ Examiner, Art Unit 2628	/Ulka Chauhan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628
---	--